

## OUTCOMES:

# Rum River One Watershed, One Plan (1W1P) – Technical Advisory Committee Meeting

---

Date: October 14, 2019

Time: 12:30-3:30 PM

Location: Mille Lacs County Historic Courthouse, lower level, conf. rm D, 635 2<sup>nd</sup> Street SE, Milaca  
MN 56353

Facilitator: Jen Kader and Julie Blackburn

Note taker: Maggie Kuchenbaker

Attendees:

Tiffany Determan, Isanti SWCD	Jason Weinerman, BWSR
Dan Cibulka, Sherburne SWCD	Barb Piechel, BWSR
Jamie Schurbon, Anoka SWCD	George Minerich, DOH
Sam Seybold, Aitkin SWCD	Reid Northwick, DNR
Maggie Kuchenbaker, Mille Lacs SWCD	Bonnie Finnerty, MPCA
Harmony Maslowski, Mille Lacs SWCD	Emily Resseger, Met Council
Susan Shaw, Mille Lacs SWCD	Aicam Laacouri, MDA
Shannon Wettstein, Morrison SWCD	Josh Bork, NRCS
Abby Shea, Anoka County	Ben Meister, MNDOT
Zack Guttormson, Sherburne County	Len Linton, LRRWMO
Amanda Guertin, Benton SWCD	Nichole, MPCA
Dillon Hayes, Mille Lacs County	Rich, TNC
Julie Blackburn, RESPEC	
Jen Kader, Fresh Water Society	

Welcome by Kader.

Introductions.

Principles: (Kader)

Presentation on the five outcomes from the principles exercise from last month:

1. Accountable to each other
2. Focus on end goal
3. We all participate
4. Prioritize decisions based on science and stakeholder involvement
5. Meeting will be productive

Development of the Issue Statements (Blackburn)

Presentation and review of resource categories and subcategories; review of priority issue tables; review of issue statements for surface water, ground water and natural resources.

Review of the Issue Statements: (Kader)

Small group of activity: provide feedback on the issue statements presented (likes, dislikes, missing information).  
Reviewed feedback.

Introduce Prioritization Needs: (Blackburn)

Blackburn suggested to the group that some of the issue statements could be combined. The specific ideas can be captured with the goal of the statements.

Reviewed different maps of the watershed, to highlight some of the differences in geography.

Prioritization Exercise: (Kader)

Small group exercise to prioritize and rank issues statement by geography (South, Middle, North, Entire).

<u>Geography</u>	<u>Top Priorities</u>	<u>Bottom Priorities</u>
South	Stormwater Mgmt. Protection Drainage System Changes Human Health/Drinking Water	Invasive Species Habitat- Fish Flooding
Middle	Altered Hydrology Restoration vs. Protection Discussion More Understanding of Groundwater	Invasive Species Drainage System Mgmt.
North	Protection	Groundwater quantity Fisheries and Invasive Species
Entire	Protection Altered Hydrology Human Health/Drinking Water Surface Water Quality Restore/Preserve Habitat	Fish Habitat Erosion Invasive Species Drainage System Mgmt.

Challenges: Understanding the issue statements and what they really mean, agency input, people comment to what they know – balancing public comment and science – what can SWCDs and Counties do, people value preservation of what things looks like know – don't want the landscape to change.

Wrap Up and Next Steps: (Kader)

- Revisit draft issue statements and prioritization by geographies
- Drafting goal statements
- Prioritizing resources for issue statements

Next Meeting: Wednesday, November 6, 12:30-3:30pm @ MLC Conference Room D.

\*\*BWSR will give a presentation on 1W1P at 12:00, prior to meeting

Following Meeting: Wednesday, December 18, 12:30-3:30pm @ MLC Conference Room D.